



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/973,853	10/10/2001	Neville J. Anthony	20757Y	7109	
210 759	90 08/31/2004		EXAMINER		
MERCK AND CO INC			COLEMAN, BRENDA LIBBY		
P O BOX 2000 RAHWAY, NJ 070650907			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1624	1624 DATE MAILED: 08/31/2004	
			DATE MAILED: 08/31/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/973,853	ANTHONY ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brenda Coleman	1624
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated applications.	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from:	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	s Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	· ·	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or		·
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		*
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by f	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>
10. Other:		Brenda Coleman BRENDA COLEMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: as stated in the prior office action claim 4 is a subgenus of which the definition of R.superscript.k appears and does not set forth written description for the genus as a whole. With respect to the rejection labeled paragraph 2) in the last office action the applicants provided two references of which are not prior to the filing of this application and hence cannot be considered the state of the art at the time of filing.